	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/541,690	DUVAL ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Ganapathy Krishnan	1623
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Ganapathy Krishnan</u>	(3)	
(2) <u>Mr. James Ruland</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 20 June 2005	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:	•	
Claims discussed: see continuation sheet		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
G. Kriste		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Sig	nature – if appropriate)

Informed the attorney of record the following:

- 1. Claim 75 defines Q and depends from claim 60 but in claim 60 Q has been deleted.
- 2. Verfication that the structural formula shown at page 6 of the amendment to the claims is formula III referred to in claim 65.
- 3. Claims 67 and 68 do not end in a period.
- 4. Define the term modified and amend the terms "any organic polymers" in claim 80.

The attorney of record verfied that the structural formula shown at page 6 of the amendments to the claims is formula III and authorized an Examiner's amendment for claims 67, 68, 75 and 80.